

Minutes Risperdal Taskforce, Beerse, Dec. 6-7, 1994

Present:	France	Philippe Alfocea / Pierre Quelet
	UK	Jane Griffiths
	Japan	Hajime Enjoji / Tetsu Nagase
	USA	Tom Anderson / Heng Wong
	Beerse	Ivo Caers / Raf De Wilde / Philippe Lemmens Eric Pauwols / Françoise Rampelberg
Absent:	Canada	Jim Eckhardt
	Germany	Kai Martens

For overview Olanzapine / Seroquel / Sertindole and Ziprasidone, see SAE report

Additional competitive info

Olanzapine

- Eli Lilly is setting up cost of disease study in Germany
- CND study comparing Risperdal - Olanzapine
 - . safety & efficacy
 - . HE data
 - . cognitive function
- Lilly stopped research on Gastro → focus on CNS
- sales rep recruitment in UK (incremental to Prozac)
- NMS case reported (1)
- TD cases reported (3)
- WHO essential drugs list submitted
- 5→10→20 mg Haldol comparison (phase III)
- benefit to M1 receptors antagonism; explored as a benefit
- liver enzymes, drug interactions may be critical
- agranulocytosis in animal (Japan)

Seroquel

- EPS profile similar to chlorpromazine
- Zeneca is doing extensive Market Research (cojoint in Europe / US?)
- sedative

Sertindole

- relapse prevention project, run by Kissling, Germany
- contact patient support groups (Switzerland)
- turnover in clinical research dept. - USA is high
- investigators lack of confidence (dose problems) (ocular tests) - Belgium
- CNS agreement in Germany with Byk Gulden
- Lundbeck is a candidate for take-over

**PLAINTIFF'S
EXHIBIT**

58

Exhibit
Caers - 3
08.19.09

EXHIBIT

P-58

JJRS 01954894

Confidential/Produced in Litigation Pursuant to Protective Order

Ziprasidone

- cardiovascular safety incl. ECG monitoring vs Risperdal (6, 8, 10 mg) is being studied (UK, Australia)
- comparative trial vs Haldol 5 mg Acute exacerbations in Switzerland
- Pfizer published placebo vs Haldol on cognitive effects
- investigators looking for a new "hook"?
 - . safety
 - . cognition

Clozapine

- comparative trial vs Risperdal planned in France / Canada
 - . maintain Treatment Resistant Patient population
 - . negative symptoms
 - . EPS (up to 16 mg Risperdal is used)

Amisulpride

- large clinical studies in Eastern Europe & USA & UK
- licensing USA (?)
- comparative trial vs Risperdal in France (up to 16 mg)
 - looking at positive symptoms

Others

- ORG5222: discontinued worldwide

SWOT-analysis: Olanzapine / Eli Lilly

Strengths

- positive + negative symptoms
- comparative claims vs Haldol
- low EPS
- most Clozapine-like product (broad spectrum)
- limited prolactin ↑
- *can go to GP's (Prozac) (e.g. in BDD)*
- *high CNS commitment*
 - . *Marketing*
 - . *R&D*
- *Dis. State Management organisation*
- *Intense psychiatry contacts / advocacy groups*
- *good FDA relationship*
- *aggressive marketers*

Weaknesses

- postural hypot. + titration
- strong anticholinergic effects
- TD reported (3/300)
- sedation (oral)
- liver enzymes ↑
- weight gain
- *3 y behind Risperdal*
- *US marketing = global*

Opportunities

- effective in primary negative symptoms
- anxiolytic effect
- minimal orthostatic hypotension
- effective in schizoaffective
- data in treatment resistant patients
- BDD claim
- relapse prevention claim
- sedation IM
- D4 story
- similar to clozapine
- *unsatisfied market*
- *co-marketing in Europe? Shering in US?*
- *WHO list*

Threats

- aplastic anaemia / agranulocytosis
- no superiority over Haldol
- confusion re. dosing
- drug interaction
- *managing expectations*
- *price erosion (competition)*
- *Janssen strategy*

standard lettertype = product related

italic lettertype = company-environment related

SWOT-analysis: Seroquel / Zeneca

Strengths

- low prolactin ↑
- low EPS
- *Stuart Disease State Management*
- *strong consistent developers*
- *global US / Europe / Japanese company*

Weaknesses

- EPS = chlorpromazine
- QT prolongation
- liver enzymes ↑
- sedation (oral)
- need for titration / art.hypotension
- dizziness
- *no CNS franchise / no psychiatric contacts*
- weight gain
- *weak marketer in US*
- *≥ 3 y behind Risperdal*

Opportunities

- relapse prevention
- sedation IM
- *low expensive SDA (cfr. Remoxipride)*

Threats

- better efficacy of new drugs
- *other Zeneca pipeline products*
- *order of new entries (3rd, 4th?)*
- *Janssen strategy*

standard lettertype = product related

italic lettertype = company-environment related

SWOT-analysis: Sertindole / Abbott/Lundbeck:

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - no prolactin ↑ - low EPS - IM + depot - low sedation - <i>Lundbeck:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . high CNS commitment . "prelapse" program . aggressive marketeers - <i>Abbott:</i> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> . experienced in very comp. market . valproate in bipolar disorders . bundled products . good institutional marketing 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - strong α-lytic - slow titration - liver enzymes ↑ - 2 active metabolites - QT prolongation - doubt efficacy negative symptoms - IM not sedative - <i>SSRI introduction (Lundbeck)</i> - <i>not global company (Lundbeck)</i> - <i>fragmented launch</i>
Opportunities	Threats
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 1st SDA depot - schizoaffective - <i>could entry before olanzapine</i> - <i>low expensive SDA (remoxipride)</i> - <i>link Byk Gulden</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - no superiority over Haldol - interactions - <i>new competitors</i> - <i>miscommunication 3 companies</i>

standard lettertype = product related

italic lettertype = company-environment related

SWOT-analysis: Ziprasidone / Pfizer

Strengths	Weaknesses
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - no dose titration - low α-lytic - first episode patients - <i>Dis. State Management</i> - <i>CNS commitment</i> - <i>aggressive spenders</i> - <i>centralized clinical development</i> - <i>project management approach</i> - <i>speed to market</i> 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - dose related EPS - 2nd SDA - <i>rich pipeline</i> - <i>domestic / non-domestic organization</i>
Opportunities	Threats
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - schizoaffective - equal efficacy = Risperdal - development IM - anxiolytic / antidepressant effects - studies in negative symptoms - data in therapy resistant patients - BDD (no orthostatic / anticholinergic) - cardiovascular safety 	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - not superior to Haldol - <i>price competition</i>

standard lettertype = product related

italic lettertype = company-environment related

SWOT-analysis: Risperdal**Strengths**

- 1st SDA (pos. + neg. + low EPS)
- not sedative
- long-term experience
 - . efficacy
 - . safety

Weaknesses

- not sedative (acute)
- high prolactin ↑
- weight gain
- low impact in acute cases

Opportunities

- schizoaffective
- BDD
- relapse prevention
- HE data
- liquid
- once-daily
- effective in:
 - . 1st period
 - . therapy resistant
- other patient populations
- new class = standard
- Risperdal + benzodiazepines (acute)

Threats

- *room for better than Risperdal*
- *new competitors*
- *new competitor vs Risperdal comparisons*
- *slow development*
- *low unit penetration*
- *CNS pipeline products*

standard lettertype = product related

italic lettertype = company-environment related

Advantages / Disadvantages new SDA's vs Risperdal

Olanzapine = 0
 Seroquel = sq
 Sertindole = st
 Ziprasidone = z

Advantages	o	sq	st	z	Disadvantages	o	sq	st	z
low / no prolactin ↑	x	x	x	?	liver enzyme ↑	x	x	x	?
superiority (efficacy)	?	o	o	o	blood dyscrasias	?	o	o	o
HE / outcome data	x	?	?	?	sex. dysfunction	o	o	x	o
lower side effects	?	?	?	?	anticholinergic	x	o	o	o
lower EPS / TD	?	o	o	o	contacts with OL	x	o	x	o
titration	o	o	o	x	TD	x	?	?	?
QT	?	o	o	?	titration	o	o	x	o
sedation (acute)	x	x	o	o	complex pharmaco-kinetics (metabolites)	o	o	x	?
BDD data available	o	?	?	?	drug interactions	?	?	x	?
Treatment Resistant	x	x	?	?	low US impact	o	x	?	o
line extensions	x	?	x	x	too high expectations (clozapine like)	x	?	o	o
broader receptor binding	x	o	o	o	late in Clin. Dev.	o	x	o	x
resources human and \$	x	?	?	x					
relapse prevention	x	x	x	x					

x = yes
 ? = possible but not known
 o = no / unlikely

Major competitors' disadvantage	0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	Minor	8	9	10	Major competitors' advantage over Risperdal
blood monitoring (liver/blood)							no titration	low production					sup. N / pos vs Risp.
						low SE	sedation acute						sup. N / pos vs Halidol
Clozapine price							sedation acute						efficacy prim. neg. symptoms
							no QT 4						bellier HE data
													Release prevention claim
													Flemoxipride price
													schizoaffective outside US
													continued resources

Act as a market leader / global strategies to maintain leadership

What the market leader should and should not do

First (market leader)

- +
 - close follow-up early adaptors / go beyond early adaptors with adapted strategy in different types of target group
 - act pro-actively (be first in all line extensions) (SDA's / reimbursement)
 - creates market + define own role so that → others behave → stability in market
 - continue to redefine the market if necessary
 - consistent publication strategy, control editorials, etc. = bench market
 - . price
 - . spending
 - . development
 - continuous commitment to OLs advocacy groups + other clients
 - think broadly incl. non-traditional thinking
 - increase entry barrier
 - behave as a leader (attitude)
 - aggressive posture
 - maintain global strategy
 - come with line extensions at time of launch second

- re-active in line extension + strategy (always second)
- stay with early adaptors
- change in strategy when others come in
- don't compare just on product characteristics
- still in niche position (< 15% in psychiatric units)

What the follower should and should not do to become leader

Second and consecutive

- +
 - behave as a market leader
 - position as breakthrough product
 - lack of any respect for market leader / aggressive marketing
 - top to bottom commitment from company to achieve market leadership
 - take leadership in line extensions pharmaceutical + new indications
 - explore high risk and huge areas others have not entered
 - guerrilla war approach

- over-promise / too high expectations
- wrong pricing
- no clear positioning vs market leader
- don't rely on just promotional spending
- no extensive pro-marketing
- rely on class effects re. off-label use
- under-estimate the leader

Olanzapine: Potential Positioning

- the most effective first-line (atypical) therapy for full range of symptoms in schizophrenia and other psychotic disorders
 - . multi-receptor, not SDA
 - . QoL
 - . long-term
 - . Clozapine-"like"
 - . easy to use antipsychotic "user friendly"
 - . first-line atypical

Seroquel: Potential Positioning

- first-line atypical antipsychotic
- or
- "Risperdal-like" positioning
 - . similar efficacy
 - . lower price ?
 - . less prolactin ↑
 - . not anticholinergic
- or
- schizophrenia with anxiety

Sertindole: Potential Positioning

- Risperdal like positioning
 - + differentiate as health care supplier, partner in treating psychosis
- only SDA depot "Prelapse"
(price = or < Risperdal)

Ziprasidone: Potential Positioning

- positioning "safer" Risperdal
(focus on cardiovascular, prolactin, cognition)
(simple, safe, "user-friendly Risperdal like" antipsychotic)
- Potential in elderly incl. BDD

Risperdal Strategy**Issues**

- maximize unit share before new competitors come in
- prevent a reimbursement backlash or maintain reimbursement long-term
- create "broad use" perception
- develop essential line extensions
- develop first-line use "in practice"
- identify key issues that are communicated to Senior Mgmt regularly

Tactics to drive unit share asap

- expand target group to schizophrenia treatment group (nurses, social workers, advocacy groups, ...)
- heavy investment in medical education, PR, symposia, ...
- enhance training re. consultative sell, micro-marketing
- enhance value for money perception
 - . value added programs
 - EPS detection for nurses / GP's
 - out hospital follow-up
 - guidelines on correct use of antipsychotics incl. Risperdal
 - use WPA program (for non-psychiatrists)
 - program for consumers / care giver re. NEW schizophrenic patients (Risperdal users groups) (WHO project!) (Info exchange!)
 - program for family newly diagnosed schizophrenics
 - GP orientation programs re. schizophrenia
 - . enhance perceived value of the drug
 - consistent dominant approach
 - keep enhancing problems schizophrenia in society
 - case studies
 - . hospital pharmacy programs (explore their needs)
 - schizophrenia
 - health economy in general
 - health economy data on Risperdal
 - enhance their self esteem (emotional approach)
- publications on:
 - . switching
 - . anything positive on Risperdal in schizophrenia
 - . elderly schizophrenia / young / late-elderly
 - . different races
 - . primary / secondary negative symptoms + Risperdal
- milk the existing data → publications (analysis = present gap)
- SDA concept + make atypical a bad word
 - . publications + in publication SDA re. others
 - . consensus pannel(s)
 - . MSL tactics to have it implemented
- develop a Risperdal patient register
 - . publications
 - . PR

Additional topics

- Depot
 - . 1 injection / 2 weeks is OK
 - . max. dose to be developed: TBD in MR (ongoing in UK / US / CDN)

- BDD
 - . yes for additional scored 0.5 mg tablet
 - . outcome data are being included in studies
 - . no need for separate trademark

- AIR multiclient market study on Risperdal
 - . Beece buys 1st country available 50/50 budget (UK / Germany?), cost £ 10,000/country
 - . distribute + purchase other countries if studies would be done. Janssen does not stimulate start of study by ordering now. Coordinator = Raf De Wilde, Beece

Eric Pauwels / Ivo Caets
December 14, 1994

Maximize unit penetration**Clinical / Product development****Schizophrenia**

- 1 efficacy in primary negative symptoms
 - . long-term outcome, link it to efficacy on negative symptoms
 - . what is olanzapine doing in primary negative symptoms (do they split it? if yes, how do they do? Canada, UK, US, deadline before 15/1/95)
 - . N. Andreasen trial Risperdal in US
 - . "Möller"-type analyses of existing data other than Marder trial/ meta-analysis?
 - . explore creative clinical approaches Risperdal in negative symptoms
 - . case reports on negative symptoms

- 2 Superior over Haldol (positive and/or negative symptoms: 1 US)
 - . objective: have superiority in the US labeling
 - 1 or 2 trials?
 - results INT-6?
 - deadline end Q1 '95 to start 1st US trial
 - centers of excellence?

- 3 Efficacy in treatment resistant
 - . possible to have in the labeling? (including therapy resistant), how? to check with Regulatory
 - . running trials Risperdal are OK for perception creation, would be sufficient to include in labeling if positive → IRF if we would like so (Regulatory?)

- 4 HE / Outcomes
 - . QoL + other clinically / family / care giver relevant outcomes
 - . 3-5 year study re. Risperdal changing the outcome?

- 5 relapse prevention
 - . check INT-6 / GBR-13: enough for labeling? (Regulatory) Non-US / US
 - . IRF to be filed worldwide: Q2 '95

- 6 prolactin
 - . evaluate clinical relevance S.E.
 - vs placebo
 - vs reference compounds
 - ⇒ no clinical relevance story → publish shortly before

- 7 first episode patients, more open data, conservative dosing → publish
 - . comparative long-term trial incl. outcome!

- 8 sedation issue
 - . publish data anti-sedation story
 - . studies: if needed, add benzodiazepines
 - . consultancy group
 - publish
 - PR (sedation: family members)
 - sedation ↔ effect on negative symptoms
- 9 anticholinergic
 - . muscarinic effect = positive means what? explore with ABR
 - . anticholinergic SE in elderly
 - . cognitive functioning Risperdal vs anticholinergic drugs

Formulations

- depot
 - . determine what is needed from regulatory point of view
 - . fast track development
- IM
 - . safety concerns cardiovascular (wait for RIS-INT-2 results)
 - . explore in MR impact titration (running in UK / US / CDN)
- Quicksolve
 - . develop ASAP once feasibility is done (? taste)
 - . explore which strengths: TBD
- Transdermal patch
 - . start acceptability study in schizophrenia / BDD: TBD

Other indications

- schizoaffective (non-US countries)
 - . what trials are needed for labeling?
 - . follow-up with affiliates on regulatory requirements
 - . US trial useful for regulatory purposes outside US?
- BDD
 - . US trial to begin end of Q1 '95 regardless of FDA contact
 - . HE outcomes / QoL
 - . Next taskforce on Additional marketing needs
- mental retardation
 - Tourette's syndrome
 - Autism
 - marketing to determine potential value → priority + Y / No for IRF